

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 150 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/929,121	08/15/2001	Toyoaki Kishimoto	212668US6	1335
22850	7590 11/22/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			TESLOVICH, TAMARA	
	DRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/929,121	KISHIMOTO, TOYOAKI			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Tamara Teslovich	2137			

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED <u>07 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below): (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's arguments concerning the outstanding office action's failure to make a prima facia case of obviousness because of its failure to teach registering, decoding, and determining have been considered but are not persuasive. First, the Applicant claims that the content server is required to perform an additional step of validating the SID and therefore not equivalent to the instant invention. Although Levergood's content server does in fact validate the SID, the validation could be as simple and merely checking to make sure that the SID was in fact sent by the authentication server, which anyone knowledgeable in the field would understand is rather important. In addition, the extra step of verification would logistically come after the final step in the instant invention and although not suggested by the instant invention, it has also not been forbidden. In page 4 of the Applicant's arguments, he goes on to argue that Levergood fails to teach or suggest sending a notification to said content providing server that starting a service provision for said mobile information terminal is permitted based on the authentication and SID appending step. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's contention and would like to draw the Applicant's attention to column 3, lines 33-48 wherein Levergood describes the use of the SID in order to signify the authentication of a request made by the client so that the requested material may be provided to the client. The SID is the authentication server's way of notifying the content server that it has already predetermined that the client is not only registered but also authorized for transactions. The Applicant next argues that Levergood's suggestion of saving SIDs locally for future access cannot reasonably be interepretted within the instant invention. The Examiner would like to draw the Applicant's attention his own citation, specifically column 5 lines 49-52 wherein Levergood briefly explains that the SID is the result of communication between the client and an authentication server, and it is the authentication server that creates the SID which is then used to notify the content server that the client has been and is authorized for the transaction requested. For the reasons given above, the Examiner has no choice but to uphold her previous rejections regarding claim 1, as well as claim 9 for substantially the same reasons.

> Matthews Shadene MATTHEW SMITHERS PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 2137